

U-Multirank 2022 bibliometrics: information sources, computations and performance indicators

1 Information sources: research publications and patents

1.1 Web of Science database (WoS)

All bibliometric scores are based on information extracted from publications indexed in the *Web of Science* database (WoS), more specifically the *Science Citation Index Expanded*, *Social Sciences Citation Index*, and *Arts & Humanities Citation Index*. These three bibliographical indexes contain approximately 13,000 active information sources, predominantly peer-reviewed scholarly journals. The WoS database at CWTS covers worldwide science across all disciplines and fields. The medical and life sciences are especially well-covered. The database is biased in favour of 'mainstream science' and English-language publications. For further general information about the Web of Science: https://clarivate.com/products/web-of-science/.

The CWTS customized, in-house version of the WoS is operated a commercial license agreement with *Clarivate* (formerly *Thomson Reuters*). The current database at CWTS contains about 70 million publications, running back to 1980. More than a million publications are added each year. In spite of these large numbers, bibliometric data derived from this source is therefore never 100% comprehensive and fully accurate. Moreover, the WoS sources cover a wide range of document types. The bibliometric data in U-Multirank however refer only to those two document with the largest likelihood of originating from original research: *'research articles'* and *'review articles'*.

It is essential to realise that bibliometric scores are therefore always proxies or estimates of research activities and impacts. We assume that estimates are sufficiently valid and reliable, having an acceptable margin of statistical error.

The WoS indexed publications in arts and humanities (A&H) journals have not been included in the three citation-based indicators: (i) mean normalized citation score, (ii) top 10% most frequently cited publications, and (iii) interdisciplinarity indicator. There are three reasons: (1) the citation frequency counts are often zero or low; (2) citation patterns and counts tend to be much more affected by journal- or sub-field specific characteristics; (3) the relatively low level of validity of WoS-indexed peer-reviewed A&H journals as fully representative publication outlets of all research activities in these research disciplines. The compounded effect of these three constraints is the high likelihood of unreliable and biased outcomes. In combination, the numbers of citations are usually too low to ensure representative, reliable and statistically robust citation-based indicators. Especially in those cases where a *higher education institute* (HEI) produces low numbers of A&H publications, some of which happen to be (highly) cited, this will give an overly positive view of the HEI's true citation impact in such fields.

Note that research publications in arts and humanities are included in all publication-output based indicators – but only to reflect the fact that an HEI is actively engaged in these science domains.

1.2 WoS fields of science

Ten of U-Multiranks's subject fields, introduced in earlier releases, will be updated: (Human) Medicine; Dentistry; Nursing; Pharmacology/Pharmacy; Psychology; and Social Work. In addition, two new subjects field are added: Agriculture; and Veterinary Science. To generate bibliometric data, each of these fields are delineated by a collection of WoSindexed journals. These journal collections are derived from Clarivate's classification system of *Journal Subject Categories* (JSCs). Each WoS-indexed journal is assigned to one or more JSCs, according to the general (multi-)disciplinary contents of its publications. There are some 250 JSCs in the current system. Table 1 presents the JSC-based delineation of each field.

Subject field	Journal Subject Category
Bioengineering	Cell & Tissue Engineering
Biology	Biochemistry & Molecular Biology
	Biology
	Biotechnology & Applied Microbiology
	Cell Biology
	Developmental Biology
	Entomology
	Evolutionary Biology
	Fisheries
	Horticulture
	Marine & Freshwater Biology
	Mathematical & Computational Biology
	Microbiology
	Mycology
	Ornithology
	Plant Sciences
	Reproductive Biology
	Zoology
Chemical Engineering	Engineering, Chemical
Chemistry	Chemistry, Analytical
	Chemistry, Applied
	Chemistry, Inorganic & Nuclear
	Chemistry, Multidisciplinary
	Chemistry, Organic
	Chemistry, Physical
	Electrochemistry
	Engineering, Chemical
	Polymer Science

Table 1. Delineation of subject fields

Civil Engineering	Engineering, Civil
Computer Science	Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence
	Computer Science, Cybernetics
	Computer Science, Hardware & Architecture
	Computer Science, Information Systems
	Computer Science, Interdisciplinary
	Applications
	Computer Science, Software Engineering
	Computer Science, Theory & Methods
Electrical Engineering	Engineering, Electrical & Electronic
	Telecommunications
Environmental Engineering	Engineering, Environmental
	Green & Sustainable Science & Technology
	Water Resources
Materials Engineering	Materials Science, Biomaterials
	Materials Science, Ceramics
	Materials Science, Characterization & Testing
	Materials Science, Coatings & Films
	Materials Science, Composites
	Materials Science, Multidisciplinary
	Materials Science, Paper & Wood
	Materials Science, Textiles
	Nanoscience & Nanotechnology
	Polymer Science
Mathematics	Mathematics
	Mathematics, Applied
	Mathematics, Interdisciplinary Applications
	Statistics & Probability
Mechanical Engineering	Engineering, Mechanical
Physics	Physics, Applied
	Physics, Atomic, Molecular & Chemical
	Physics, Condensed Matter
	Physics, Fluids & Plasmas
	Physics, Mathematical
	Physics, Multidisciplinary
	Physics, Nuclear
	Physics, Particles & Fields
Production/Industrial Engineering	Engineering, Industrial

Please consult the Categories & Collections (Scope Notes) of the Web of Science Core Collection on the website https://mjl.clarivate.com/help-center for a summary description of each Journal Subject Category.

1.3 PATSTAT database

The *Worldwide Patent Statistical Database* (PATSTAT), produced by the European Patent Office (EPO), contains bibliographical data relating to more than 100 million patent documents from leading industrialised and developing countries. CWTS operates an EPO-licensed version of PATSTAT. The

2021 U-Multirank data on patents and patent citations are derived from the Autumn 2021 version of this CWTS-PATSTAT database.

Patents are assigned to a HEI by 'patent applicant' (not according the 'patent inventor' information). Each patent is assigned in full to all applicants listed on a patent.

Patent publications usually contain references to other patents and sometimes also to other 'non-patent' literature sources. A major part of these *non-patent references* (NPRs) are citations to scholarly publications published in WoS-indexed sources. The NPRs are the so-called 'front page citations'. These citations are mainly provided by the patent applicant(s) or by the patent examiner(s) during the search and examination phases of the patent application process.

The citing patents were clustered by using the 'simple patent family' concept – that is groupings of patent publications containing all equivalent, in legal sense, patent documents. A simple patent family therefore addresses one single 'invention'. Each patent family contains at least one EP patent (published by EPO) or a WO patent (published by WIPO - *World Intellectual Property Organization*), AND at least one patent published by USPTO, the *US Patent and Trademark Office*. All NPRs within each family were de-duplicated. Each NPR is therefore counted only once per family. The NPRs were matched against the bibliographical records in the WoS. Our current information indicates that the majority of the WoS records are identified.

2 Technical specifications: data collection, computations, definitions and delineations

2.1 Data preprocessing

The bibliometric indicators are applied to two groups of higher education institutions: the largest universities that are included in the 2021 edition of the CWTS *Leiden Ranking* (www.leidenranking.com) and all HEIs that registered separately for U-Multirank.

These latter institutions are identified and delineated by CWTS through processing all available author affiliate address information in the publication. CWTS cleans, harmonizes and augments this source of information. The processing involved a mix of sophisticated pattern recognition software, manual checks and corrections, and extensive usage of a CWTS thesaurus of institutional name variants which includes misspellings, acronyms and truncations. For practical and budgetary reasons, this data cleaning and disambiguation work was done 'top down' by CWTS without consulting the HEIs subjected to this process. Some HEIs provided input to CWTS on frequently occurring name variants of their originations, which was duly and fully processed.

A key challenge in the delineation and definition of each main institution is the handling of publications originating from closely affiliated research institutes and associated hospitals. Among academic systems a wide variety exists in the types of relations maintained by universities with these affiliated institutions. Usually, these relationships are shaped by local regulations and practices and affect the comparability of universities on a global scale. As there is no easy solution for this issue, it is important that producers of university rankings employ a transparent methodology in their treatment of affiliated institutions.

U-Multirank follows the allocation procedure applied by CWTS for its Leiden Ranking, which distinguishes three different types of university-affiliated institutions: component; joint research

facility or organization; associated organization.¹ In the case of components the affiliated institution is actually part of the HEI or so tightly integrated with it or with one of its faculties that the two can be considered as a single entity. The University Medical Centres in the Netherlands are examples of components. All teaching and research tasks in the field of medicine that were traditionally the responsibility of the universities have been delegated to these separate organizations that combine the medical faculties and the university hospitals. Joint research facilities or organizations are the same as components except for the fact that they are administered by more than one organization. The Brighton & Sussex Medical School, the joint medical faculty of the University of Brighton and the University of Sussex and, Charité, the medical school for both the Humboldt University and Freie Universität Berlin are both examples of this type of affiliated institution. As for associated organizations, the third category, take the case of Addenbrooke's Hospital in Cambridge (UK), an organization associated with Cambridge University. Publications mentioning only the Addenbrooke's Hospital are not counted as publications from *Cambridge University*. Only publications explicitly mentioning the Cambridge University or one of its components are included. However, as many Addenbrooke's Hospital affiliations appear within publications alongside another address referring to Cambridge University, these publications will in fact be attributed to Cambridge University.

Generally, organisational sub-units that registered for participation in U-Multirank - such as individual faculties, schools, departments, or research institutes – were excluded from the CWTS bibliometric data collection. Mainly because many of these sub-units are extremely difficult to delineate from the parent organization because the verification of author address information, and additional data collection, requires extensive input and feedback from knowledgeable representatives of the sub-units.

2.2 Indicators, metrics and computational issues

General background

All the CWTS-generated bibliometric indicators presented in this section are either fully or partially derived from pre-existing generally available indicators, or based on prior CWTS ideas or research that occurred outside the U-Multirank project. In some cases the bibliometric scores on these indicators were derived from prior CWTS-developed data-processing routines or computational algorithms, or modified/upgraded versions thereof.

The WoS-based bibliometric scores relate to the publication years 2017 up to and including 2020, as a single measurement window, with the exception of the 'Patent citations to research publications' metrics.

Leiden Ranking

The bibliometric indicators in U-Multirank are closely related to those in the Leiden Ranking. The main difference between both is the fact that the Leiden Ranking is based on WoS-indexed 'core research publications' in international peer-reviewed scientific journals. Publications in other WoS-indexed sources (national scientific journals, trade journals, and popular magazines) are not included. The same applies to research publications in languages other than English. Also publications in journals that are not well-connected, in terms of citation links, to other journals are left out. These are mainly, but not exclusively, journals in arts and humanities fields of science.

¹This paragraph is largely copied from the explanatory text on the *Leiden Ranking* website (accessed on 18-2-2015).

For a brief explanation of the idea of core publications, see

http://www.leidenranking.com/information/indicators. In contrast, U-Multirank includes all WoS-indexed sources and publications (although for some indicators A&H publications are left out – see below).

Full counting or fractional counting

The bibliometric indicators fall into two groups, depending on the counting scheme (fractional or full) and the coverage of the arts and humanities research publications (included or excluded). The following indicators use full counting and include the arts and humanities: Research publication output; International co-publications; Regional co-publications; Co-publications with industrial partners. Three of the other indicators exclude arts and humanities publications and use a fractional counting scheme: Interdisciplinary research score; Mean normalized citation score; Frequently cited publications.

Finally, Patent citations to research publications also exclude arts and humanities publications, but it uses full counting. We refer to the publication by Waltman and Van Eck (2015; http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04431) to justify our use of fractional counting for some of these indicators.

Box 1. How fractional counting works

Question: How do you count the following publication in which the University of Graz (Austria) has contributed? The publication lists four authors, with the following affiliations:

- Author 1:
- o University of Graz, Institute of Physics
- o Medical University of Graz
- Author 2:
- o Medical University of Innsbruck
- Author 3:
- o University of Graz, Institute of Physics
- o Joanneum Research
- o Business entreprise XY
- Author 4:

o BioTechMed-Graz (a cooperation of the University of Graz. Technical University of Graz and the Medical University of Graz)

Answer: There are four authors each credited for ¼ of the paper which in turn is distributed equally among their affiliations. In that way, the University of Graz gets half the credit (1/8) allocated to the first author as this author is affiliated with two institutions; for the third author the University of Graz is credited with 1/12 as only one affiliation out of three relates to the University of Graz. For the fourth author the University of Graz receives also a credit of 1/12 because the affiliation refers to a cooperation in which the University of Graz is one of three partners. This means that the proportion of the publication assigned to this university amounts to 0.29 (7/24).

Applying lower threshold values to publication output frequency counts

Measurement processes and bibliometric data that are based on low numbers of publications are more likely to suffer from 'small size effects', where small (random) variations in the data might lead to very significant deviations and discrepancies. HEIs with a only few publications in WoS-indexed sources should therefore not be described by WoS-based indicators (alone). To prevent this from happening threshold values were implemented. No bibliometric scores will be computed for the institutional ranking if the institution produced less than 50 WoS-indexed publications during the years 2017-2020. This count is based on a full counting scheme where each publication is allocated in full to every HEI (at the main institutional level) that is mentioned in the publication's author affiliate addresses.

As explained above some groups of indicators include WoS indexed publications in arts and humanities (A&H) journals and other groups of indicators do not. Therefore it may occur that data is provided for indicators including WoS indexed publications in arts and humanities (A&H) journals while no data is provided for indicators excluding WoS indexed publications in arts and humanities (A&H) journals. Where the institutional ranking relates to all research publication output, irrespective of the field of science, the field-based rankings relate to specific fields. The list of fields and their delineation in the WoS database is explained in section 1.2. The lower publication output threshold for each field is set at 20 (full counted) WoS-indexed publications. Both these lower cut-off points were approved, in December 2013, by U-Multirank's Advisory Board.

Note that an additional threshold applies to the indicator 'Percentage co-publications with closedistance industrial partners', which is only provided in case the HEI has at least 20 co-publications in collaboration with industrial partners within the specified time-period.

3 Bibliometric indicators

Each HEI's research profile is represented by a series of measurements. Each metric refers to an indicator that captures a distinctive characteristic of that profile. 'Research publication output' is the key indicator, for which bibliometric data is represented on the U-Multirank website irrespective of the HEI's size or background profile; the above mentioned thresholds do not apply. In all the cases, data availability in U-Multirank depends on whether or not a HEI's publication output exceeds the respective lower threshold value – at the aggregate level ('all sciences') or at the level of individual subject fields.

3.1 Research publication output

Indicator of: scale research activity and volume of research output Metric: frequency count of research publications Acronym: P

Background and technical specification:

The number of WoS-indexed publications produced by a main institution reflects the magnitude of international-level research activity. The publication frequency count data are based on a whole counting system, where each publication is assigned in full to every main organization mentioned in its author affiliation list. Publication output counts do not necessarily reflect the volume of in-house research capacity, due to the existence of significant disciplinary differences between publication propensities and the output-boosting effect of research cooperation with external institutional partners.

3.2 Interdisciplinary research score

Indicator of: knowledge usage from different scientific disciplines in research activities Metric: share of publications within the field's top 10% publications with the highest interdisciplinarity scores

Acronym: pp_interdisciplinary

Background and technical specification:

The frontiers of science are often at the edge of disciplines – those dynamic domains of crossfertilization where insights, ideas and information from other disciplines lead to new understanding and scientific breakthroughs. The term 'interdisciplinarity' is used to capture this feature of a HEI's research profile. Our measure of the average interdisciplinarity of the publications of an institution aims to capture the diversity in the knowledge sources of publications. The interdisciplinarity score of a single publication is determined based on the references ('citations') within that publication to other WoS-indexed publications. The more a publication refers to publications belonging to different fields of science, and the larger the cognitive distance between these fields, the higher the interdisciplinary score of that publication will be. More precisely, the interdisciplinarity score of a publication equals the average, calculated over all pairs of cited publications, of the distance between the fields to which the cited publications belong.

The distance between two fields is determined based on citation relationships between fields. The more two fields cite to the same fields (as calculated using the so-called cosine measure), the smaller the cognitive distance between the two fields. After the interdisciplinarity scores of all publications in *Web of Science* database in the period of analysis have been calculated, we refer to the top 10% research publications with the highest interdisciplinarity score are as 'highly interdisciplinary' publications. The results of our sensitivity analyses indicates that the ranking is relatively insensitive *to* the choice of the percentage that are classified as interdisciplinary; choice of the percentage that is classified as interdisciplinary (either 10% or another percentile). In order to obtain the interdisciplinarity score of an institution, its proportion of interdisciplinary publications is calculated across all fields of science collectively. Mathematically, the interdisciplinarity score of an individual publication can be written as:

$$I^{\text{pub}} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}$$

where *m* denotes the number of references in the publication to other WoS-indexed publications and where d_{ij} denotes the distance between the field of reference *i* and the field of reference *j*. The distance d_{ij} equals 0 if reference *i* and reference *j* are in the same field. The maximum possible value of d_{ij} is 1. The interdisciplinarity score of an institution equals the proportion of the publications of the institution that are regarded as highly interdisciplinary, or in other words, the proportion of the publications of the institution that belong to the top 10% publications with the highest interdisciplinarity score in their field per year. In mathematical terms, this can be written as

$$I^{\text{inst}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k} \# (I_{k}^{\text{pub}} \ge I_{\text{threshold}}^{\text{pub}})$$

² Porter, A.L., & Rafols, I. (2009). Is science becoming more interdisciplinary? Measuring and mapping six research fields over time. Scientometrics, 81(3), 719–745.

where *n* denotes the number of publications of the institution, I_{k}^{pub} denotes the interdisciplinarity score of publication *k*, and $I_{\text{threshold}}^{\text{pub}}$ denotes the minimal interdisciplinarity score a publication must have in order to belong to the top 10% publications with the highest interdisciplinarity score. We refer to Porter and Rafols (2009)² for a further discussion of the above approach for measuring interdisciplinarity, in particular the approach for calculating the interdisciplinarity score of an individual publication. This publication also explains in detail how the distance between two fields can be calculated using the cosine formula.

3.3 Percentage international co-publications

Indicator of: research cooperation with institutional partners in other countries Metric: share of research publications with at least one author affiliate address located in another country Acronym: pp_int_collab

Background and technical specification:

The percentage of the publications, within a HEI's research publication output, with one or more coauthors publishing with an affiliate address in another country. Each international co-publication is assigned in full to all main organizations listed in those addresses. These co-publication counts are slightly affected by (temporarily employed) researchers with one or more appointments abroad. The international co-publication propensity is discipline-specific; it is relatively high in the natural sciences; relatively low in the social sciences, and extremely low in the arts and humanities fields.

3.4 Percentage regional co-publications

Indicator of: research cooperation with institutional partners in the local region

Metric: share of publications with two or more author addresses located within a 50 kilometer radius of the HEI

Acronym: pp_short_dist_collab

Background and technical specification:

This indicator captures the extent to which HEIs collaborate and co-publish with external institutional research partners located at close proximity. The metric is defined in terms of physical distance (measured in kilometers) between the HEI and its partner. The local 'region' is defined as a 50 km radius around the city center of the HEI's main location.

A publication is considered to represent a short distance collaboration for a particular HEI if, apart from the address of this institution, at least one other address is mentioned in the address list of the publication and if this other address is within 50 km of the address of the HEI of interest.

3.5 Percentage co-publications with industrial partners

Indicator of: research cooperation with R&D-active business enterprises

Metric: share of publications with at least one author affiliate address referring to a for-profit business company

Acronym: pp_collab_industry

Background and technical specification:

This indicator represents the percentage of an institution's research publications that were coauthored by R&D staff employed in 'industry' (delineated as for-profit business enterprises, but excluding private-sector HEIs and all hospitals or medical clinics). Most of the enterprises therefore operate in manufacturing industries. The share of co-publications with industry is discipline-specific and depends on the type of HEI; it is relatively high in industry-relevant fields within the engineering sciences and life sciences, and among universities of technology.

It is important to note that this indicator may also comprise of cases where staff have (temporary or permanent) dual appointments of affiliations both within a HEI and a business companies, or where former PhD students, recently employed by industry, still publish about their academic work under their previous HEI address.

3.6 Percentage co-publications with close-distance industrial partners

Indicator of: research cooperation with local R&D-active business enterprises Metric: share of co-publications with industrial partners that involves at least one firm located within a 50 km range of the HEI's city center Acronym: pp collab industry 50km

Background and technical specification:

This is a new performance indicator on research collaboration, introduced in the 2019 release of U-Multirank. It is a mix of 'Percentage co-publications with industrial partners' and 'Percentage regional co-publications'. This add-on captures the degree to which a HEI has successfully cooperated with industrial partners that are located within a 50 kilometer radius around the HEI's city centre. The distances of these co-publications are estimated by measuring the distance between the cities of the affiliated universities and industrial partners.

This indicator has a lower-threshold at 20 university-industry co-publications for inclusion. This lower bound threshold was set for both the 'all fields' aggregated category, and each individual field that we delineated. This was set in order to prevent 'small-sample size' effects that were particularly notable for this indicator (for example, without this threshold, if a HEI published a single university-industry co-publication and this was with an industry within 50km, this indicator would be 100%). A high score on this indicator – represented as a share of the total number co-publications with industrial partners - is a proxy of a HEI's engagement with local or regional R&D-active business sectors. A good example of the local-engagement that this indicator is intended to illustrate is that of Cambridge University (United Kingdom), which co-published 28% of its 2 765 university-industry co-publications (UICs) with local industry.

3.7 Percentage of gold open access publications

Indicator of: the degree to which an institution has adopted open access publishing practicesMetric:share of publications published in an open access journalAcronym:pp_oa_gold

Background and technical specification:

This indicator represents the percentage of an institution's research publications that were published in open access journals. These are labeled as 'gold' open access publications and are distinct from several other categories of open access publications. The open access status of a publication is determined based on Unpaywall data.

3.8 Mean normalized citation score

Indicator of: international scientific impact

Background and technical specification:

The absolute number of citations received by a publication is often highly dependent on the field of science, the topic of the publication, and sometimes even the source in which it was published. Proper citation counting needs to take this into account, in order to compare across research domains and different types of HEIs. The average number of citations, from other Web of Science indexed publications, to a HEI's publication output, normalized at the global level for the field and the year in which each publication appeared.

This normalization aims to correct for differences in citation characteristics between publications from different fields and different years.

Citations are counted up to and including the fourth quarter of 2021, where author self-citations are ignored in the computations.

The fields we use for normalization are identical to the *Leiden Ranking* methodology (www.leidenranking.com) which are based on clusters of interlinked research publications. We adopt a fractionated counts in the citation analysis, where a cited publication is allocated to an HEI in proportion to the number of authors mentioning this organization in their affiliate addresses.

3.9 Percentage top cited publications

Indicator of:high-level international scientific impactMetric:share of research publications within the top 10% most highly cited of their field
worldwideAcronym:pp_top10%

Background and specification:

Citation distributions are highly skewed – the top 10% most highly publication collect on average some 50-60% of all citations worldwide. This indicator captures the share of a HEI's publication output that belongs to the top 10% most frequently cited per field worldwide. This measure is occasionally introduced as an indicator of 'international research excellence': HEIs with well over 10% of their publications in this top percentile are among the top research institutes worldwide. Very highly cited publications are very often internationally co-authored publications. Citations are counted up to and including the fourth quarter of 2020, where author self-citations are ignored in the computation. Similarly to the Mean normalized citation score (see above), the citation

3.10 Patents awarded

Indicator of: knowledge transfer and technological development Metric: number of patents awarded to a HEI as an applicant Acronym: granted_patents

Background and technical specification:

counts are based on a fractional counting scheme.

This indicator refers to the number of patents awarded to a HEI. The same patent may also mention one or more other applicants. The number of patents is an established measure of technology transfer, as it indicates the degree to which inventions produced by the HEI may be transferred to economic actors for further industrial / commercial development. The number of patents is one of the indicators reflecting the HEI's *potential* transfer of knowledge with commercial application. However, the existence of patents it is not equivalent to actual knowledge transfer. Additional information, for instance on patent licenses, is required to determine whether the knowledge disclosed in a patent document has actually flowed and has been used by actors outside academia. The indicator on the number of patents awarded has been calculated for each HEI considering the number of patents granted at the US patent office (USPTO) and/or the European Patent Office (EPO) for patents applied between 2011 and 2020. Frequently, the same invention is protected in more than one patent office (e.g. USPTO and EPO); therefore in order to avoid double counting we rely on the INPADOC patent families so that the number of patents equals to the number of INPADOC patent families with at least one granted patent at the USPTO and/or the EPO. Many HEIs apply for their patents under the name of specific units created to manage intellectual property rights and the transfer of technology. All known technology transfer units, to the best of our

knowledge, have been included in our patent count. However, it is possible that we are not aware of all the possible names under which the patents have been applied for; hence in some cases this indicator may underrepresent the actual number of HEI-produced patents.

3.11 Industry co-patents

Indicator of: knowledge transfer, collaboration with industry, and technological developmentMetric:number of patents co-awarded to a HEI and a business enterpriseAcronym:granted_patents_collab_ind

Background and technical specification:

This indicator refers to the number of patents awarded to a HEI and (at least one) business enterprise, both being mentioned as applicants on the same patent. Inventions protected by patents might be developed by universities alone but also in collaboration with other partners, notably forprofit business enterprises ('industry'). These patents reflect a close interaction between the HEI and industry which, in principle, takes place at an early stage of the development of the invention of which the intellectual property is protected by the patent.

The methodology to identify these patents is similar to the above indicator on 'Patents awarded'.

3.12 Research publications cited by patents

Indicator of:impact of scientific research on technological developmentMetric:percentage of research publications cited in patented technologiesAcronym:pp_cited_in_patents

Background and technical specification:

The percentage of a HEI's research publications that were mentioned in the reference list of at least one international patent – the so-called 'front page' references which are assembled separately from the patent's main text. An 'international patent' is defined here as a patent belonging to a DOCDB patent family, each consisting of equivalent patent publications, describing the same invention, published either by the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), European Patent Office (EPO) or World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).

This indicator reflects the technological relevance of scientific research at the HEI, in the sense that it explicitly contributed, in some way, to the development of patented technologies. It does not necessarily reflect the innovation performance of HEIs; a patented technology only becomes an innovation when the related product or process is introduced into the marketplace.

Not all references in patents reflect a direct link between the 'cited' science and 'citing' technology, and that the cited publications can be co-authored with other organizations. Nonetheless, a relatively

large share of cited research publications will reflect that HEIs in the recent past have been, and most likely still are, engaged in research of (future) technological importance.

To compensate for the relatively low number of NPRs to WoS-indexed publications, a 10-year timeperiod was adopted for the citing patents (2011-2020) in order to capture sufficiently large numbers for statistical meaningful comparisons. Because of patent publication delays, the coverage of the PATSTAT version used (Autumn 2021) is incomplete for 2020 and more recent years. The citation window for the cited research publications is 2011-2020. The number of patents citations to research publications is relatively low; scores on this indicator therefore have to be treated with due care because of statistical error.

3.13 Percentage of female authorships

Indicator of: gender balance in authorships

Metric:percentage of female authorships among all authorships of a universityAcronym:gender_pa_f_mf

Background and technical specification:

The indicator denotes the share of female authorships of a HEI in the total share of authorships of a HEI. The gender of each authorship is guessed based on the first name in combination of the country of the author.

First, the total number of authorships is determined for each HEI. Consider, for instance a publication that has five authors, of which three report HEI X as their affiliation and two report HEI Y as their affiliation. This publication then yields three authorships for HEI X and two authorships for HEI Y. For each of those authorships the gender is determined using the following four-step procedure:

- 1. Author disambiguation. Using an author disambiguation algorithm developed by CWTS, authorships are linked to authors. If there is sufficient evidence to assume that different publications have been authored by the same individual, the algorithm links the corresponding authorships to the same author.
- 2. Author-country linking. Each author is linked to one or more countries. If the country of the author's first publication is the same as the country occurring most often in the author's publications, the author is linked to this country. Otherwise, the author is linked to all countries occurring in his or her publications.
- 3. Retrieval of gender statistics. For each author, gender statistics are collected from three sources: Gender API, Genderize.io, and Gender Guesser. Gender statistics are obtained based on the first name of an author and the countries to which the author is linked.
- 4. Gender assignment. For each author, a gender (male or female) is assigned if Gender API is able to determine the gender with a reported accuracy of at least 90%. If Gender API does not recognize the first name of an author, Gender Guesser and Genderize.io are used. If none of these sources is able to determine the gender of an author with sufficient accuracy, the gender is considered unknown. For authors from Russia and a number of other countries, the last name is also used to determine the gender of the author.

Using the above procedure, the gender can be determined for about 72% of all authorships affiliated with U-Multirank participants. For the remaining authorships, the gender is unknown. The percentage of female authorships is computed based solely on authorships for which the gender could be determined.